Submission on proposed Southland Water and Land Plan

Email your completed submission to policy@es.govt.nz by 5.00pm Monday 1st August 2016.

Alternatively, you can post your signed submission to:
Southland Water and Land Plan
Environment Southland
Private Bag 90116
Invercargill 9840

You can also deliver your submission to Environment Southland's North Road office or fax it on 03 211 5252.

Full Name: Ross Eric Paterson
Phone (Hm): 03 202 510
Organisation: *the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Phone (Wk):
Phone (Cell): 021 788 386
Postal Address: Greenvale 9350 Gore
Postcode: 9775
Email: 
Fax: 

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Public hearing
Please choose one of the following options:
☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Trade Competition
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition, your submission must only include matters which affect the environment.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:
☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please sign below to declare that you are directly impacted by an adverse environmental effect.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 23/7/16
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule 25a (i) Cultivation on sloping ground.</th>
<th>Rule 25a (ii) Cultivation on sloping ground.</th>
<th>Rule 23b (iv) Intensive winter grazing.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons: These set backs are too big. too</td>
<td>Reasons: 20 degrees would take out 40% of our farm</td>
<td>Reasons: The limits of 50 hectares will cause us to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>much grazing is lost.</td>
<td>forcing wintering closer to main water courses reducing</td>
<td>concentrate all stock - 50 ha causing more damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the ability to pick up any losses with the slope of the land should not impact so long as</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>set backs are used.</td>
<td>set backs are used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use past averages a stock numbers to come up with a number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3m up to 16 degrees</td>
<td>30 degrees at the least. all land cultivated in the past should be able to be cultivated in future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a 10m over this angle. stock can be kept back further through winter a</td>
<td>Set backs a good management. Not cultivated more than 2 years narrow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physiographic Zones</th>
<th>My submission is that:</th>
<th>The decision I would like Environment Southland to make is:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
<td>(Please include whether you support, oppose or wish to amend each separate provision you have listed in column 1 and the reasons for your views.)</td>
<td>(Please give precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule 25.6 cultivation on slopes/ground.</td>
<td>Reasons: The zones are pretty good but there has been no testing or work done in my district.</td>
<td>Do some testing &amp; work with farmers to fine tune their on-farm systems. Working together would help.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule 236 (vii) Intensive winter grazing.</td>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
<td>Reasons: The ability to tidy up set back areas once crop is finished is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
<td>Reasons: I agree with set backs but these are too much. with a good amount of vegetation water is caught very quickly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
<td></td>
<td>BM up to 16 degrees a more suitable limit than that. Also stock can be held further back through winter &amp; edges eaten last.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
The specific provisions my submission relates to are:
(Specify provision number and title, e.g. Policy 17 - Effluent management)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The decision I would like Environment Southland to make is:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Please give precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Support □ Oppose □ Amend</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Definition of Forage Crops:**
  - □ Support □ Oppose □ Amend
  - Reasons: Your definition of forage crops is very wide & open ended. It needs to be tightened up.

- **Definition of “Lake”**
  - □ Support □ Oppose □ Amend
  - Reasons: What constitutes a lake? - It should mean an actual lake. Duck ponds > 1ha made wet land ponds should be outside the definition of lake.

Define forage crops as swedes & beets.

Define lake as a lake.

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991
The specific provisions my submission relates to are:
(Specify provision number and title, e.g. Policy 17 – Effluent management)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix G</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Amend</td>
<td>Pop. bath. sites should include Makarewa River at Wallacetown.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy 18</th>
<th>Stock exclusion from waterbodies.</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Amend</th>
<th>Reasons: Who is to maintain fenced waterways and is still reliant to landowner yet unable to be grazed. Now there is significant spraying and planting costs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy 18.1</th>
<th>Stock exclusion from waterbodies.</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Amend</th>
<th>Reasons: I do not believe sheep should be fenced from waterways. Out side of intensive winter grazing.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The decision I would like Environment Southland to make is:
(Please give precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be, the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek.)

Example:

- Popular bathing sites should include Makarewa River at Wallacetown.
The specific provisions my submission relates to are:
(Specify provision number and title, e.g. Policy 17 – Effluent management)

My submission is that:
(Please include whether you support, oppose or wish to amend each separate provision you have listed in column 1 and the reasons for your views.)

The decision I would like Environment Southland to make is:
(Please give precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Natural Hazards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Definition of Cultivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Definition of Intensive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Policy 38**

  - **Natural Hazards**
  - **Definition of Cultivation**
  - **Definition of Intensive**

  - **Support**
  - **Oppose**
  - **Amend**

  **Reasons:**
  - I support farmers having the ability to undertake cultivation & medication to protect staff & contractors. ie cultivating benched ground that has been cultivated before.

  - **Support**
  - **Oppose**
  - **Amend**

  **Reasons:**
  - Cultivation should only include mechanical cultivation. As it stands the ability to spray gorse & broom is removed.
  - Gorse & broom are on ES Noxious weed list.

  - **Support**
  - **Oppose**
  - **Amend**

  **Reasons:**
  - I'm unsure what is intensive in ES eyes - is it only on crop or block shifts or gross.

- **Remove spraying from definition of cultivation.**

- **Please clarify this.**

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.