

19-ENTERPRISES TRUST-Lagore Enterprises Trust

Address 890 Argyle Otahuti Road, R D 4, Invercargill 9874

Email Address admin@lagore.co.nz

Phone Number 032352254

Public Hearing

Trade Competition

Joint Hearing

Submission ID	SWLP-83
Provision Name	Water Quantity
Decision sought	Ensure that all sectors of the community are working together to achieve the desired outcome. Urban and Rural sectors need to be working together under the same time frames and the same rules. Contaminate losses from town sewerage and septic tanks need to be addressed immediately to get a full picture of the water quality.
Reasons	We need to ensure water quality is as good now and for the future generations, giving them the opportunity to enjoy all the advantages we have had.
Support/Oppose	Support
Submission ID	SWLP-84
Provision Name	Physiographic Zones
Decision sought	Need to see more flexibility for each land area used by current owners, management, soil type. Take a case by case basis if a new consent is required. Due to the different zoning area people's properties will be classified. People may already have extremely good systems in place for management of the current land holding but new rules will predetermine their ability to choose how they manage their business. These are often skilled people who deserve to continue with current practices.
Reasons	Is the new science robust enough to implement the special conditions included in each physiographic zone? This has the potential to devalue people's properties and individuals' decisions on how people earn their livelihood.
Support/Oppose	Oppose
Submission ID	SWLP-85
Provision No.	Rule 23
Provision Name	Intensive winter grazing
Decision sought	If good management practices are all ready in place then farmers should have the opportunity to continue their current business model without the need for further consenting requirement. Not put a restriction on the number of hectares or the 3 year average of land cropped.
Reasons	There should be no limitation on the number of hectares used for a permitted activity. There will be a huge cost to the farmer to prepare good management plans, the monitoring of consents, the compliance costs. Cost involved, time involved and implementation costs.

Support/Oppose	Oppose
Submission ID	SWLP-86
Provision Name	Appendix N – Management Plan Requirements
Decision sought	<p>Put in place a template detailing all relevant information required. Environment Southland need to ensure this is a good robust plan. (What constitutes a good plan?) Do not impose any unnecessary costs back to the farmer which may involve professionals to prepare on behalf of the farmers. Expectation of the Nutrient plan-is this to be more detailed than what the fertiliser companies are all ready producing for clients?</p> <p>Ensure that information to be collected is achievable with the majority of farmers all ready have the ability to access information required.</p> <p>Not to limit the GMP to be review annually. If there are no significant changes then two yearly review may be more appropriate.</p>
Reasons	<p>Costs imposed on farmers, compliance costs increasing, monitoring of GMP.</p> <p>What constitutes a good plan? Does ES have this information?</p>
Support/Oppose	Oppose
Submission ID	SWLP-87
Provision No.	Rule 25
Provision Name	Cultivation on sloping ground
Decision sought	Farmers who have good management practises all ready in place and areas of land well away from waterways do not need this.
Reasons	This is too restricting
Support/Oppose	Oppose