Submission on proposed Southland Water and Land Plan

Email your completed submission to policy@es.govt.nz by 5.00pm Monday 1st August 2016

Alternatively, you can post your signed submission to:
Southland Water and Land Plan
Environment Southland
Private Bag 90116
Invercargill 9840

You can also deliver your submission to Environment Southland’s North Road office or fax it on 03 211 5252.

Full Name: Hamish McLean  Phone (Hm):
Organisation*: Runwood Station Ltd  Phone (Wk): 027 297 2600
Phone (Call):
Postal Address: B.O.2  Postcode:
Te Anau 9672  Email: hamishmcclean@formville.co.nz
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Public hearing
Please choose one of the following options:
☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Trade Competition
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition, your submission must only include matters which affect the environment.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:
☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
If you have ticked this box please sign below to declare that you are directly impacted by an adverse environmental effect.

Signature: ________________________________  Date: 27/07/16

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Notes:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Policy # or rule #</th>
<th>Name of policy or rule</th>
<th>Support / oppose</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Recommended alternative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Physiographic Zones</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>I support the use of physiographic zones for the classification of land types.</td>
<td>I would like provision to define more accurately the boundaries of these particular zones on individual farms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Rule 23</td>
<td>Intensive Winter Grazing</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>I don’t believe that giving an arbitrary number on area will have any positive impact on water quality. 50ha is too restrictive and should be based on a percent of total land you own e.g. 25%</td>
<td>I am supportive of the Management Plans in accordance with appendix N and that these are submitted to council or the land sustainability team for approval in lieu of consent. I would only see a consent to be necessary in rare cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Cultivation on Sloping ground</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>I believe the slope vs buffer numbers are not simple to remember in the field. 20 degrees is not steep enough.</td>
<td>I would recommend a buffer of 1 metre per degree with a minimum of a 3 metre buffer. I believe 25 degrees would be easier to stay within a rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Discharge of Agricultural Effluent to land</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Re: one feed lot or feed pad per landholding. I think this is too restrictive on larger land holdings. Rule 35 b iii Change the need for a chartered professional engineer as these are unnecessary.</td>
<td>I think more may be permitted without consent with an appropriate Management plan (appendix N) Change wording to “a suitably qualified person”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Stock Exclusion</td>
<td>Clarification</td>
<td>No definition of “waterway” in plan Instead of set date have it in Management Plan to get it done.</td>
<td>I think the adoption of Fonterra’s definition would be sensible. 1 metre wide 30cm deep runs 6 months of the year. Could add gravel bed to description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page #</td>
<td>Policy # or rule #</td>
<td>Name of policy or rule</td>
<td>Support / oppose</td>
<td>Reason</td>
<td>Recommended alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>New or expanded dairy farming</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>No clarity for land owner's potentially severe financial impacts.</td>
<td>Change activity status from discretionary to restricted discretionary to give some assurance and clarity over rules to be applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198-202 Appendix N</td>
<td>Management Plan requirements 8. Intensive winter grazing</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>I support in principle the management plans except where stated previously.</td>
<td></td>
<td>I would like the information within these plans to be submitted to the council, therefore reducing the need for consents where farms are operating in accordance with best practice. Land owners should be able to change their mind where to cultivate as long as they stick to good buffers zones along water ways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Southland Water and Land Plan Part A</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>I generally support in principal the Water and Land Plan except where previously stated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>